

A COURSE SYLLABUS – DOCTORAL SCHOOL
REGARDING THE QUALIFICATION CYCLE FROM 2024/2025 TO 2028/2029

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT COURSE				
Course title	<i>DOCTORAL DISSERTATION</i>			
Name of the unit running the course	Doctoral School at University of Rzeszów			
Type of course (<i>obligatory, optional</i>)	obligatory subject			
Year and semester of studies	year I -IV, semester: I - VII			
Discipline	Linguistics			
Language of Course	Polish/English language			
Name of Course coordinator	dr hab. Agnieszka Uberman, prof. UR			
Name of Course lecturer	dr hab. Agnieszka Uberman, prof. UR			
Prerequisites	Scope of knowledge resulting from the study program of the selected scientific discipline. Knowledge of a modern foreign language (English) at a level that allows the use of foreign-language sources of scientific information.			
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COURSE (100-200 words)				
The purpose of the subject is to prepare the doctoral student individually to conduct scientific work, closely related to the research topic being pursued, which is the basis for the development of the doctoral dissertation.				
COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES AND METHODS OF EVALUATING LEARNING OUTCOMES				
Learning outcome	The description of the learning outcome defined for the course	Relation to the degree programme outcomes (symbol)	Learning Format (Lectures, classes,...)	Method of assessment of learning outcomes (e.g. test, oral exam, written exam, project,...)
Knowledge (no.)	knows and understands, has knowledge			
W_01	broad knowledge covering selected specific issues appropriate to the discipline in which the doctoral dissertation is prepared to the extent that existing paradigms can be revised;	P8S_WG1	conversation	Formative assessment during class (discussion/questions)
W_02	the orientation of development and the latest discoveries of scientific research, including world research in the discipline of linguistics, uses specialized terminology also in a foreign language, knows the methodology of scientific research covering theoretical foundations and general issues related to the field of humanities;	P8S_WG2 P8S_WG3 P8S_WG4	conversation	Formative assessment during class (discussion/questions)
Skills (no.)	can			
U_01	using the knowledge he or she has; is able to identify and formulate new solutions	P8S_UW1	conversation	Formative assessment during class

	to complex research problems, define the purpose of research, formulate the hypothesis and the object of scientific research, develop techniques, methods and research tools, and make inferences based on the results of scientific research;			(discussion/questions)
U_02	select and use scientific literature to properly diagnose and solve research problems and innovative activities in connection with scientific work, and apply the appropriate workshop to create new elements of scientific output;	P8S_UW2	conversation	Formative assessment during class (discussion/questions)
U_03	creatively apply and develop research methods, techniques and tools appropriate to the research being conducted; make inferences based on the results of scientific research; use their knowledge to critically analyze and evaluate the results of scientific research;	P8S_UW3	conversation	Formative assessment during class (discussion/questions) / excerpts of work
Social competence (no.)	is ready to			
K_01	critically evaluate scientific achievements within the scientific discipline in which the dissertation is prepared.	P8S_KK1	conversation	Formative assessment during class (discussion/questions) / excerpts of work

LEARNING FORMAT – NUMBER OF HOURS

Semester (no.)	Lectures	Seminars	Lab classes	Internships	others	ECTS
I - VIII	-	-	8 x 30 hrs. – 240 hrs.	-	-	24

METHODS OF INSTRUCTION

METHODS BASED ON OBSERVATION AND MEASUREMENT, METHOD OF ANALYSIS AND CRITICISM OF SOURCES, METHOD OF ANALYSIS AND LOGICAL CONSTRUCTION, DISCUSSION.

COURSE CONTENT

Program content implemented from semester I to VIII.

1. preparation for the analysis of the results of scientific research in the discipline of linguistics.
2. Preparation of the presentation of the assumptions of the dissertation, and later also of the obtained results.
3. Preparation of a presentation for a scientific conference.
4. preparation of a publication.

5. Preparation of an application to the ethics committee.
6. Preparation of an application for funding of a research project or foreign internship.

COURSE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The evaluation is based on the continuous work of the doctoral student in each semester and academic year in the following areas: maintaining a portfolio, which includes documentation of the doctoral student's activities related to the conduct of the research project:

- an example of the conducted research in the form of a presentation of the results
 - an example of an application to the Bioethics Committee
 - preparation of an application for funding of a scientific project
 - example of an application for funding of a foreign or domestic internship
 - reports documenting the conduct of scientific research
 - copy of publication
 - certificate of participation in conferences
 - other documents directly related to the scientific activity of the doctoral student
- . Possible semester grades are: 2.0, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0.

To obtain a passing grade, a conversion factor is applied for the corresponding percentage of points obtained:

- up to 50% - insufficient, (the doctoral student does not make progress in scientific research, does not expand his knowledge, does not study the readings, does not participate in substantive discussion, does not fulfill his scientific duties);
- 51% - 60% - sufficient, (the doctoral student makes negligible progress in scientific research, expands knowledge, studies primary literature, the discussion held is limited to a narrow range of substantive knowledge, fulfills basic scientific duties);
- 61% - 70% - sufficient plus, (the doctoral student makes progress in scientific research, expands knowledge, studies basic literature, substantively participates in the discussion, discharges scientific duties);
- 71% - 80% - good, (doctoral student makes significant progress in scientific research, expands knowledge, studies primary and secondary literature, substantively participates in discussion, fulfills all scientific duties);
- 81% - 90% - good plus, (the doctoral student makes significant progress in scientific research, systematically expands knowledge, studies basic and complementary literature, substantively participates in discussion, fulfills all scientific duties);
- 91% - 100% - very good (the doctoral student makes significant progress in scientific research, systematically expands knowledge, studies basic, complementary and beyond the obligatory literature, substantively participates in discussion, fulfills all scientific duties).

TOTAL PhD STUDENT WORKLOAD REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE THE INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES – NUMBER OF HOURS AND ECTS CREDITS

Activity	Number of hours
Scheduled course contact hours	240 hrs.- 8 x 30 hrs
Other contact hours involving the teacher (consultation hours, examinations)	8 x 7 godz. – 56 godz.
Non-contact hours – student`s own work (preparation for classes or examinations, project, etc.)	424 godz. – 8 x 53 godz.
Total number of hours	720 godz.
Total number of ECTS credits	24

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS

Compulsory literature:	CRESWELL, J.W. & CRESWELL J.D. (2018) RESEARCH DESIGN: QUALITATIVE, QUANTITATIVE, AND MIXED METHODS. METHODS APPROACHES. SAGE PUBLICATIONS.
------------------------	--

	<p>LEE, N-J. (2009A). ACHIEVING A PROFESSIONAL DOCTORATE. A HANDBOOK. OPEN UNIVERSITY PRESS.</p> <p>MCGRAW-HILL EDUCATION.</p> <p>SCHMITT, N. & CELE-MURCIA, M. (2019) AN OVERVIEW OF APPLIED LINGUISTICS. ROUTLEDGE.</p> <p>WILCZYNSKA, W. & MICHONSTA-STADNIK, A. (2010) RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN GLOTTODIDACTICS.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION, AVALON.</p>
Complementary literature:	Literature selected individually to the area and scope of research conducted.

*(1 ECTS CREDIT CORRESPONDS TO 25 - 30 HOURS OF THE TOTAL WORKLOAD OF A DOCTORAL STUDENT, NEEDED TO ACHIEVE THE ESTABLISHED EFFECTS).

.....
Date and signature of the Course lecturer

.....
Approved by the Head of the Department or an authorised person