### A COURSE SYLLABUS – DOCTORAL SCHOOL

#### REGARDING THE QUALIFICATION CYCLE FRO 2021 to 2025

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT COURSE							
Course title		Doctoral seminar					
Name of the course	unit running the	Doctoral School at University of Rzeszów					
Type of cou optional)	ırse (obligatory,	Obligatory					
Year and semeste	er of studies	I, I-II	1. 1-11				
Discipline		Philosophy					
Language of Cour	se	Polish					
Name of Course of	coordinator	Krzysztof Boche	Krzysztof Bochenek, dr. habi, prof. LIR				
Name of Course I	ecturer	Krzysztof Bochenek, dr hab., prof. UR					
Prerequisites		Completed second-cycle studies, at least good knowledge of					
Trerequisites		philosophical and theological issues. Knowledge of the relationship between reason and faith, especially in the context of the discourse around the theory of evolution.					
(100-200 words)							
Getting to know	the historical and	contemporary r	hilosophical discourse, especi	ally with the discourse			
conducted on th	e border of empi	irical sciences, p	hilosophy and theology. Masi	ering the methods of			
interpreting vario	ous texts concernin	ng the relationshi	p between reason and faith. e	specially in the context			
of the theory of e	evolution. Assimilat	ting adequate re	search tools and mastering the	e methods of their use.			
, Mastering critica	I discourse and ap	plying it to the	analysis of phenomena and pr	ocesses related to the			
theory of evoluti	on. Demonstrating	g the ability to a	oply the acquired knowledge a	and skills in practice to			
prepare a diplon	na thesis. Internali	ization of the kr	owledge necessary in the pre	paration of a doctoral			
dissertation in th	ne field of relation	ns between scier	nce and religion, in the histor	cal and contemporary			
aspects.							
COURSE LEARNIN	IG OUTCOMES AN	D METHODS OF	EVALUATING LEARNING OUTCO	MES			
Learning	The description	of Relation	to Learning Format	Method of			
outcome	the learning outc	come the degr	ee (Lectures, classes,)	assessment of			
	defined for	the programm	ne	learning outcomes			
	course	outcomes		(e.g. test, oral exam,			
		(symbol)		written exam,			
				project,)			
Knowledge	He knows	and					
(no.)	understands						
1	To the ex	ktent P8S-WG/2	Lecture, exercises,	Ability to undertake			
	enabling a revision	on of	discussion	discussions and			
	the exis	sting		arguments, oral and			
	paradigms - w	vorld		written works			
	achievements,						
	covering theore	etical					
	foundations	and					
	general issues	and					
	selected spe	ecific					
	issues - approp	riate					
	for the scier	ntific					
	discipline philoso	phy					

2	Main historical views and directions of development in the disciplines of theology and philosophy	P8S-WG/2	Lecture, exercises, discussion	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
3	Scientific research methodology	P8S-WG/3	Lecture, exercises, discussion	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
4	Rules and forms of propagating research results, also in the open-access mode	P8S-WG/4	Lecture, exercises, discussion	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
5	Basic principles of knowledge transfer to the economic and social sphere and commercialization of the results of scientific activity and know-how related to the results	P8S-WK/3	Lecture, exercises, discussion	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
Skills	Can			
2	Use knowledge in the field of philosophy for creative identification and innovative solving of complex problems or performing research tasks, in particular: - define the purpose and subject of research, formulate a research hypothesis, - develop methods, techniques and research tools and use them creatively, - make conclusions on the basis of scientific research	P8S-UW/1	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
2	Conduct a course to evaluate and evaluate the results of research, expert activity and other creative work and their contribution to	P8S-UW/2	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works

	the development of knowledge			
3	Transfer the results of scientific activity to the economic and social sphere	P8S-UW/3	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
4	Communicate on specialist topics to a degree enabling active participation in the international scientific environment	P8S-UK/1	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
5	Disseminate the results of scientific activity, also in popular forms	P8S-UK/2	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
6	Initiate a debate	P8S-UK/3	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
7	Participate in the scientific discourse	P8S-UK/4	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
8	Plan and implement individual and team research projects, also in an international environment	P8S-UO	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
9	Plan and act for your own development as well as inspire and organize the development of other people	P8S-UU/1	Discussion, reading of texts and their interpretation	Ability to undertake discussions and arguments, oral and written works
Social competence (no.)	He is ready to			
1	Critical evaluation of the achievements within the discipline of philosophy	P8S-KK/1	Discussion	The ability to discuss and argue
2	A critical evaluation of one's own	P8S-KK/2	Discussion	The ability to discuss and argue

	contributi developm scientific philosoph	on to the ent of the discipline of y				
3	Recognize importanc knowledg cognitive practical p	e the ce of e in solving and problems	P8S-KK/3	Discussion		The ability to discuss and argue
4	Maintainin developin of rese creative communit including: - inc conductin activities - respe principle ownership results o activity, account principles intellectua protectior	ng and g the ethos arch and ties, dependently g research cting the of public o of the of scientific taking into the of al property	P8S-KR	Discussion		The ability to discuss and argue
LEARNING FORMAT – NUMBER OF HOURS						
Semester	Lectures	Seminars	Lab classes	Internships	others	ECTS
(n0.)						
1-11					60	0
METHODS OF INSTRUCTION						
A PROBLEM-SOLVING LECTURE, TEXT ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION, RESEARCH PROJECT						

## COURSE CONTENT

During the seminar, one of the main scientific research problems, which is the relationship between reason and faith, will be subjected to a special analysis. It appears in the historical and contemporary philosophical discourse, e.g. in the context of Darwinian and neo-Darwinian interpretations of the theory of evolution. This theory invariably arouses the interest of philosophers and theologians, constituting a point of reference for the philosophical and religious explanation of the world. This subject, by such thinkers as Fr. Michał Heller, who try to reconcile faith with modern natural science, neuropsychology or cognitive science. The seminar will also attempt to present contemporary political concepts that are based on modern biological theories. It will also be important to define the ontological and philosophical foundations of evolutionary theories in the system of process philosophy, atomic philosophy, and broadly understood Christian philosophy. According to the nature of the doctoral student's research intentions, the seminar will also address the following issue: the religious way of explaining reality in the pre-scientific world, the concept of revelation in various religions, the ancient approach to the relationship

between science and religion. Theology as the queen of sciences in the context of scholasticism, religion and science on the threshold of modernity, the Enlightenment critique of religion from the perspective of rationalism, Darwin's theory of evolution and its consequences for the science-religion relationship, the scientist model of religion's irrationalism, postmodern negation of religion and the rationality of science, contemporary models of religion relations - science, the faith of reason and reasons of faith, universalist aspirations of reason and faith versus contemporary cultural pluralism.

### COURSE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Passing the oral test in the semester, assessment of activity during classes, especially in the area of arguing and conducting a discourse. Assessment of progress in the area of doctoral dissertation preparation. skills: mastering the issue, the degree of independence in presenting detailed preparations, systematicity; The condition for passing the semester is fulfilling the condition of satisfactory presentation of selected issues and bibliographic items.

# TOTAL PhD STUDENT WORKLOAD REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE THE INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES – NUMBER OF HOURS AND ECTS CREDITS

Activity		Number of hours	
Scheduled course contact hours		30+30	
Other contact hours involving the teacher (consultation hours, examinations)		5	
Non-contact hours – student's own work (preparation for classes or examinations, project, etc.)		60	
Total number of l	nours	125	
Total number of ECTS credits		0	
<b>INSTRUCTIONAL I</b>	MATERIALS		
literature:	<ul> <li>Fuller S., Nauka vs religia? Inteligentny projekt a zagadnienia ewolucji, tł. T. Bieroń, Poznań 2009 (wybrane fragmenty).</li> <li>Heller M., Nowa fizyka i nowa teologia. Copernicus Center Press, Kraków 2016 (wybrane fragmenty).</li> <li>Russel N., <i>Religia a nauka</i>, tł. B. Stanosz, Warszawa 2006 (wybrane fragmenty).</li> </ul>		
Complementary literature:	<ul> <li>Bóg i nauka Moje dwie drogi do jednego celu. Michał Heller w rozmowie z Giulio Brottim, Copernicus Center Press, Kraków 2013.</li> <li>Bronk A., Podstawy nauk o religii, TN KUL, Lubin 2003.</li> <li>Buttiglione R., Wiara rozumu i racje wiary, w: Jan Paweł II, Fides er ratio. Tekst i komentarze, red. T. Styczeń, W. Chudy, Wyd. KUL, Lublin 2003, s. 259-268.</li> <li>Dawkins R., Bóg urojony, tł. Szwajcer P., CIS, Warszawa 2013.</li> <li>Heller M., Życiński J., Dylematy ewolucji, Copernicus Center Press, Kraków 2016.</li> <li>Gellner E., Postmodernizm, rozum i religia, Warszawa 1997.</li> <li>Krenn K., Metafizyka i teologia, , w: Jan Paweł II, Fides er ratio. Tekst i komentarze, red. T. Styczeń, W. Chudy, Wyd. KUL, Lublin 2003, s. 345-356.</li> <li>Lennox J., Czy nauka pogrzebała Boga?, tł. G. Gomola, A. Gomola, W drodze, Poznań 2018.</li> <li>Perkowska H., Postmodernizm a metafizyka, Warszawa 2003.</li> <li>Sprawa Galileusza, red. Życiński J., Kraków 1991.</li> <li>Szostek A., Uniwersalistyczne aspiracje rozumu i wiary a pluralizm kulturowy, w: Jan</li> </ul>		

Paweł II, <i>Fides er ratio. Tekst i komentarze,</i> red. T. Styczeń, W. Chudy, Wyd. KUL, Lublin 2003, s. 131-142.					
Szulakiewicz M., Dialog i metafizyka. W poszukiwaniu nowej filozofii pierwszej, Toruń 2006.					
Ślusarz R., <i>Czy wiarę w racjonalność wiary można uzasadnić?, "</i> Semina Scientiarum" nr 5, 2006.					
Tałasiewicz M., <i>Racjonalność i wiara w cuda,</i> "Znak" nr 5, 2011. Wierzbiski – Zasada jednoszasa ludzkiego poznania – Antropologiczno implikacjo syntexy					
wierzbicki, zusadu jednoczącu ludzkiego poznania. Antropologiczne impikacje syntezy wiary i rozumu, w: Jan Paweł II, Fides er ratio. Tekst i komentarze, red. T. Styczeń, W. Chudy, Wyd. KUL, Lublin 2003, s. 305-318.					
Woleński J., <i>Wiara, wiedza i istnienie Boga,</i> w: <i>Z punktu widzenia humanizmu,</i> red. B. Stanosz, Warszawa 1995, s. 24-29.					
Życiński J., <i>Postmodernistyczna krytyka racionalności nauki, "</i> Studia Philosophiae Christianae" z. 2, 1994.					